

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.       | F            | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/711,903            | <del>-</del> | 10/12/2004 | Paul E. Miller       | 71368-0069             | 5902            |
| 20915                 | 7590         | 05/16/2006 |                      | EXAMINER               |                 |
| MCGARRY BAIR PC       |              |            |                      | PHAN, THO GIA          |                 |
| 171 MONR<br>SUITE 600 |              | NUE, N.W.  | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER           |                 |
| GRAND R               |              | II 49503   |                      | 2821                   |                 |
|                       |              |            |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/16/200 | 6               |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 5//   |  |
|---|--|
| Applicant(s)  |  |
| MILLER ET AL.   |  |
| Art Unit  |  |
| 2821  |  |
| orrespondence address   |  |
| S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,   |  |
| ely filed   |  |
| the mailing date of this communication.  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).  , may reduce any |  |
|   |  |
| •   |  |
| esecution as to the merits is   |  |
| 53 O.G. 213.  |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| ·   |  |
|   |  |
|   |  |
| ·   |  |
| Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |
| ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). e Action or form PTO-152.                      |  |
| n)-(d) or (f).  |  |
| · ·   |  |
| tion No ved in this National Stage  |  |
|   |  |
| ed  |  |

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |
|--|--|---|--|
|  | 10/711,903   | MILLER ET AL.   |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |
| •  | Tho G. Phan  | 2821  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   |  |   |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNI (36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON | reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |
| Status   |  | ·   |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 h  | <u> 1arch 2006</u> .   | •   |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b) ☑ This   | s action is non-final.   |   |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa   | ince except for formal mat   | ters, prosecution as to the merits is   |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.l  | ). 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application   | ١.   |   |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra   |  |   |  |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are allowed.  | •  | ·   |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-15</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  | or election requirement.   |   |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin  | er.  |   |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac  | cepted or b)☐ objected to  | by the Examiner.  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | e drawing(s) be held in abeya  | ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre-  | ction is required if the drawin  | g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E   | Examiner. Note the attache   | ed Office Action or form PTO-152.   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |   |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig   | n priority under 35 U.S.C.   | § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:   | to have been received  | •   |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority document   | its have been received.  | Application No  |  |
| <ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority documer</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri</li></ul>   | ority documents have been  | n received in this National Stage   |  |
| <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the pri<br/>application from the International Bure</li> </ol>  |  |   |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis  |  | ot received.  |  |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a lic  |  |   |  |
|  |  |   |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview   | Summary (PTO-413)   |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | Paper N  | o(s)/Mail Date  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0   | (8) 5) ☐ Notice o  | f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  |   |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/711,903

Art Unit: 2821

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

1. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tai et al (2003/0231139 A1) [newly cited].

Tai et al in figure 1 disclose a dipole antenna 6 wherein at least a portion of one pole 3 of the dipole is formed of two separable pieces 31/32, connectable to each other at a junction 33 and wherein the one pole of the dipole is formed of two separable pieces 31/32.

Since all the claimed structures are shown by Tai et al, the "without significant signal loss through the junction" would result.

Application/Control Number: 10/711,903 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 7-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tai et al in view of MacDougall (4,369,449) [of record].

Tai et al have been discussed above but fail to expressly teach the poles are formed of conductive tubes, and wherein no feed point for the dipole antenna is located at the junction or located at the two separable pieces. However, MacDougall in figures 6-11 discloses the poles are formed of conductive tubes, and wherein no feed point for the dipole antenna is located at the junction or located at the two separable pieces. It would have been obvious to employ Tai et al with the poles being formed of conductive tubes, and wherein no feed point for the dipole antenna is located at the junction or located at the two separable pieces for the purpose of producing an omni directional pattern (column 3, lines 17-19).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4 are allowed.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/711,903

Art Unit: 2821

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho G. Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-1826. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tho G Phan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2821